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# Abstract

In this assignment, I use my preferred programming language—Java to finish tasks.

All the source code are public available at [*https://github.com/ShuhaoZhangTony/ProcessSchedulingSimulator*](https://github.com/ShuhaoZhangTony/ProcessSchedulingSimulator)*.*

Please contact me if there is anything missing in the folder.

Different scheduling schemes (implemented as a Java class, e.g., RR.class) extends a common abstract Java class—*Scheduler*.

Taking round robin scheme (RR) as an example, the calling of RR is as follows.

input = new ProcessInput("input.txt");  
*LOG*.info("simulating RR ----");  
scheduler = new RR(input, 1);  
output = new ScheduleOutput(scheduler);  
*LOG*.info("printing output ---- (current time, process id)");  
output.write\_output("RR.txt");

# Task 1:

## Implement round robin scheme.

package schedule;  
  
import Input.Process;  
import Input.ProcessInput;  
import org.slf4j.Logger;  
import org.slf4j.LoggerFactory;  
import schedule.impl.Queue;  
  
*/\*\*  
 \* First come first service  
 \*/*public class RR extends Scheduler {  
 private static final Logger *LOG* = LoggerFactory.*getLogger*(RR.class);  
 private final Queue<Process> q = new Queue();  
 private int last\_slice = 1;  
 private Process current\_process;  
  
 public RR(ProcessInput input, int quantum) {  
 do {  
 boolean new\_process = false;  
 //update the queue during the last quantum.  
 for (Process process : input.process\_list) {  
 if (arrive\_in\_lastSlices(process, current\_time, last\_slice)) {  
 q.addLast(process);  
 new\_process = true;  
  
 }  
 }  
  
 //terminate case  
 if (!new\_process && q.size() == 0) {  
 if (all\_finished\_process(input.process\_list)) {  
 *LOG*.debug("RR finished scheduling for all process!");  
 break;  
 }  
 }  
  
 if (q.size() > 0) {  
 //schedule the queue  
 final Process process = q.removeFirst();  
 if (current\_process != null) {  
 if (current\_process != process) {  
 current\_process = process;  
 schedule.add(current\_time, process.id());  
 }  
 } else {  
 current\_process = process;  
 schedule.add(current\_time, process.id());  
 }  
  
 final int remaining = process.progress(quantum);  
 if (remaining <= 0) {  
 *LOG*.debug("Process finishes early than its assigned quantum expire");  
 last\_slice = quantum + remaining;  
 current\_time += last\_slice;  
 process.finish();  
 //clean the queue  
 waiting\_time += (current\_time - process.arrive\_time() - process.burst\_time());  
 } else {  
 last\_slice = quantum;  
 current\_time += last\_slice;  
 q.addLast(process);//haven't finish computing, add to the end of the queue.  
 }  
 } else {  
 last\_slice = 1;  
 current\_time += last\_slice;//advance the timeslice to wait for next process  
 }  
 } while (true);  
  
 average\_waiting\_time = waiting\_time / input.process\_list.size();  
 }  
  
 private boolean arrive\_in\_lastSlices(Process process, int current\_time, int timeslice) {  
// return process.arrive\_time() >= current\_time && process.arrive\_time() < current\_time + quantum;  
 return process.arrive\_time() <= current\_time && process.arrive\_time() > current\_time - timeslice;  
 }  
}

A few implementation details are highlighted here.

**First,** I use a linked list (``q”) to implement the ready queue. The queue is getting updated by scanning the input process list by examine their arrival time, current time and quantum size.

The process that finishes computing are removed from the queue, otherwise, it will be added to the end of the list.

**Second,** processes that finish earlier than its assigned quantum expire will immediately be removed and allow other processes to get scheduled.

Given the sample input:

0 0 4

1 2 3

and set quantum to be 1, the output from RR will be:

printing output ---- (current time, process id)  
(0,0)  
(3,1)  
(4,0)  
(5,1)  
average waiting time:1

## Implement SRTF with given burst time.

SRTF with given burst time gives the optimal scheduling results.

The following is the *SRTF.java* implements the SRTF scheme.

package schedule;  
  
import Input.Process;  
import Input.ProcessInput;  
import org.slf4j.Logger;  
import org.slf4j.LoggerFactory;  
import schedule.impl.Queue;  
  
*/\*\*  
 \* Shortest remaining task first.  
 \*/*public class SRTF extends Scheduler {  
 private static final Logger *LOG* = LoggerFactory.*getLogger*(SRTF.class);  
 private final Queue<Process> q = new Queue();  
 Process current\_process = null;  
  
 public SRTF(ProcessInput input) {  
  
 do {  
 boolean new\_process = false;  
 //update the queue during the last interval.  
 for (Process process : input.process\_list) {  
 if (arrive\_in\_interval(process, current\_time)) {  
 q.addLast(process);  
 new\_process = true;  
  
 }  
 }  
  
 //terminate case  
 if (!new\_process && q.size() == 0) {  
 if (all\_finished\_process(input.process\_list)) {  
 *LOG*.debug("SRTF finished scheduling for all process!");  
 break;  
 }  
 }  
  
 //schedule  
 if (q.size() > 0) {  
 //schedule the queue  
 final Process process = q.SRJ();  
 if (current\_process != null) {  
 if (current\_process != process) {  
 current\_process = process;  
 schedule.add(current\_time, process.id());  
 }  
 } else {  
 current\_process = process;  
 schedule.add(current\_time, process.id());  
 }  
 final int remaining = process.progress(1);  
 if (remaining == 0) {  
 current\_time += 1;  
 process.finish();  
 //clean the queue  
 waiting\_time += (current\_time - process.arrive\_time() - process.burst\_time());  
 } else {  
 current\_time += 1;  
 q.addLast(process);//haven't finish computing, add to the end of the queue.  
 }  
 } else {  
 current\_time += 1;//advance the timeslice to wait for next process  
 }  
 } while (true);  
 average\_waiting\_time = waiting\_time / input.process\_list.size();  
 }  
  
  
 private boolean arrive\_in\_interval(Process process, int current\_time) {  
 return process.arrive\_time() <= current\_time && process.arrive\_time() > current\_time - 1;  
 }  
  
}

The implementation of SRTF is very similar to RR, the key differences are highlighted as follows.

**First**, the quantum to progress in SRTF is essentially the time unit (i.e., 1), that is, current\_time will be incremented by one (instead of *quantum size* used in RR) every time the scheduler progress.

**Second**, instead of always pick first item from the queue, it picks the job with least remaining time (the SRJ function).

This is the SRJ method used in SRTF that retrieves the job with least remaining time.

public Process SRJ() {  
 Process SJ = new Process();  
 for (Process e : this) {  
 final int i = e.getRemaining\_time();  
 if (i < SJ.getRemaining\_time()) {  
 SJ = e;  
 }  
 }  
 remove(SJ);  
 return SJ;  
}

Given the sample input:

0 0 4

1 2 3

, the output from SRTF will be:

printing output ---- (current time, process id)  
(0,0)  
(4,1)  
average waiting time:1

## Implement SJF with estimated burst time.

The main differences of SJF is that it can not rely on the knowledge of given burst time of process. Instead, it has to estimate the burst time.

package schedule;  
  
import Input.Process;  
import Input.ProcessInput;  
import org.slf4j.Logger;  
import org.slf4j.LoggerFactory;  
import schedule.impl.Estimator;  
import schedule.impl.Queue;  
  
*/\*\*  
 \* Shortest Job First  
 \*/*public class SJF extends Scheduler {  
 private static final Logger *LOG* = LoggerFactory.*getLogger*(SJF.class);  
 private int last\_interval = 1;//allow the first task to be added.  
 private final Queue<Process> q = new Queue();  
  
 public SJF(ProcessInput input) {  
 Estimator estimator = new Estimator();  
 do {  
 boolean new\_process = false;  
 //update the queue during the last interval.  
 for (Process process : input.process\_list) {  
 if (arrive\_in\_interval(process, current\_time)) {  
 q.addLast(process);  
 new\_process = true;  
  
 }  
 }  
  
 //terminate case  
 if (!new\_process && q.size() == 0) {  
 if (all\_finished\_process(input.process\_list)) {  
 *LOG*.debug("SJF finished scheduling for all process!");  
 break;  
 }  
 }  
  
 if (q.size() > 0) {  
 //schedule the queue  
 final Process process = q.ESJ(estimator);//pick the estimated shortest job.  
 schedule.add(current\_time, process.id());  
 waiting\_time += (current\_time - process.arrive\_time());  
 last\_interval = process.burst\_time();  
 process.finish();//do the work.  
 q.remove(process);  
 estimator.update\_burst(process.id(), process.burst\_time());  
 } else {  
 last\_interval = 1;//advance time by 1 time slice.  
 }  
 current\_time += last\_interval;  
  
 } while (true);  
 average\_waiting\_time = waiting\_time / input.process\_list.size();  
 }  
  
  
 private boolean arrive\_in\_interval(Process process, int current\_time) {  
 return process.arrive\_time() <= current\_time && process.arrive\_time() > current\_time - last\_interval;  
 }  
  
  
}

Thanks to modular programming, clear decomposition and OOP design, the implantation of SJF is again very similar to SRTF and RR. The major differences are highlighted as follows.

**First**, as the question asks for non-preemptive version of SJF, we do not need to remember current process as did in SRTF and RR, as the current process is going to finish in its iteration.

**Second**, the progress of scheduler is non-determined and I use ``last\_interval” to record it. This is an indicator of how long has it pass since the scheduling of the last process.

**Third**, ``ESJ()” method is used to determine which process to pick up in each iteration. Each time a process finishes, it updates the estimator by its actual burst time such that estimator can provide better estimation when encounter the same process next time.

The following is the implementation of ESJ method. Each time, it tries to pick up the estimated shortest task from the queue.

public Process ESJ(Estimator estimator) {  
 Process SJ = new Process();  
 estimator.update\_burst(SJ.id(), Integer.*MAX\_VALUE*);  
  
 for (Process e : this) {  
 final int i = estimator.estimate\_burst(e.id());  
 if (i < estimator.estimate\_burst(SJ.id())) {  
 SJ = e;  
 }  
 }  
 return SJ;  
}

The estimation function is implemented in the Estimator class (Estimator.java) as follows.

public int estimate\_burst(int pid) {  
 final int estimate = (int) (a \* get\_preburst(pid) + (1 - a) \* get\_preestimate(pid));  
 estimate\_burst.put(pid, estimate);  
 return estimate;  
}

``a” is set to 0.5 initially. By adjusting ``a”, we can tune SJF.

Given the sample input:

0 0 4

1 2 3

and set ``a” to be 0.5, the output from SJF will be:

printing output ---- (current time, process id)  
(0,0)  
(4,1)  
average waiting time:1

# Task 2

## Question 1:

### Test with the given input with RR.

#### Quantum=1

printing output ---- (current time, process id)  
(0,0)  
(2,1)  
(3,0)  
(4,2)  
(5,1)  
(6,0)  
(7,2)  
(8,3)  
(9,1)  
(10,0)  
(11,3)  
(12,1)  
(13,0)  
(14,1)  
(15,0)  
(16,1)  
(17,0)  
(18,1)  
(19,0)  
(20,1)  
(30,3)  
(32,1)  
(33,3)  
(34,2)  
(35,1)  
(36,3)  
(37,2)  
(38,3)  
(39,2)  
(40,0)  
(41,2)  
(42,0)  
(43,2)  
(44,0)  
(45,2)  
(46,0)  
(60,2)  
(63,0)  
(64,2)  
(65,0)  
(66,2)  
(67,1)  
(68,3)  
(69,2)  
(70,1)  
(71,3)  
(72,2)  
(73,1)  
(74,3)  
(90,1)  
(96,0)  
(97,1)  
(98,0)  
(99,1)  
(100,2)  
(101,0)  
(102,3)  
(103,1)  
(104,2)  
(105,0)  
(106,3)  
(107,1)  
(108,2)  
(109,0)  
(110,3)  
(111,2)  
(112,0)  
(113,3)  
(114,2)  
(115,0)  
(116,3)  
(117,2)  
(118,0)  
(119,3)  
(120,2)  
(121,0)  
(122,3)  
(123,2)  
(124,0)  
(125,3)  
(126,2)  
average waiting time:8

#### Quantum=2

printing output ---- (current time, process id)  
(0,0)  
(4,1)  
(6,2)  
(8,0)  
(10,1)  
(12,3)  
(14,0)  
(16,1)  
(18,0)  
(19,1)  
(30,3)  
(34,1)  
(36,2)  
(38,3)  
(39,2)  
(41,0)  
(43,2)  
(45,0)  
(60,2)  
(64,0)  
(66,2)  
(68,1)  
(70,3)  
(72,2)  
(73,1)  
(74,3)  
(90,1)  
(98,0)  
(100,1)  
(102,2)  
(104,0)  
(106,3)  
(108,2)  
(110,0)  
(112,3)  
(114,2)  
(116,0)  
(118,3)  
(120,2)  
(122,0)  
(124,3)  
(126,2)  
average waiting time:8

#### Quantum=4

printing output ---- (current time, process id)  
(0,0)  
(8,1)  
(12,2)  
(14,0)  
(15,3)  
(17,1)  
(30,3)  
(35,1)  
(37,2)  
(43,0)  
(60,2)  
(67,0)  
(69,1)  
(72,3)  
(90,1)  
(100,0)  
(104,2)  
(108,3)  
(112,0)  
(116,2)  
(120,3)  
(124,0)  
(126,2)  
average waiting time:7

#### Quantum=8

printing output ---- (current time, process id)  
(0,0)  
(9,1)  
(17,2)  
(19,3)  
(30,3)  
(35,1)  
(37,2)  
(43,0)  
(60,2)  
(67,0)  
(69,1)  
(72,3)  
(90,1)  
(100,0)  
(108,2)  
(116,3)  
(124,0)  
(126,2)  
average waiting time:7

### Test with given input with SJF

For the given input, SJF performs the same under varying . To show the effect of varying , I show the changes of burst estimation by using process 0 (pid=0) as an example.

The following figure illustrates the estimation of burst time under varying from 0.1 to 1. As process 0 has a significantly varying burst time, none of the estimation has a good match with the actual.

Finally, the following figure shows the average waiting time of different schemes under varying parameters of Q (from 1 to 20) and (from 0.1 to 1) .

When Q is set to 6, RR achieves the minimum average waiting time of 6. In fact, RR becomes FCFS when Q is large.

While, for SJF, it always has an average waiting time of 7 no matter how to tune . As a sanity check, I have tested SJF with a ``perfect” estimator that always gives correct burst time, and it gives an average waiting time of 5, which is still worse than SRTF (optimal) because of the non-preemptiveness.

### Question 2: What is the optimal scheduling scheme (gives minimum average waiting time) for a system with (4 marks):

Ans:

SRTF will always give the minimum average waiting time for both cases, as it gives the theoretical bounded optimal scheduling. We can use SRTF to measure the optimality of other schemes.

In general, FCFS and RR are good for all short processes, while SJF is good for a mix of different length of processes.